
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,179	BRUINSMA, GOSSE B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Raymond J. Henley III.	(3)		
(2) <u>Catherine Doyle</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>10 July 2009</u>	Time: <u>AM (ET)</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 20			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Raymond J Henley III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)



Application No. 10/593,179

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Doyle authorized the Examiner to cancel claim 20 thus placing the application in condition for allowance. Claim 20 was not formally acted on the merits. The cancellation of claim 20 was made without prejudice to the filing of one or more divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part applications having the present application as the parent..